	Figure 17 SP 14 IF 10 box 182
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
4	Plaintiff,) No. 05-60008-2-HO
5	v.) September 10, 2007
. 6	PIROUZ SEDAGHATY, et al.,) Eugene, Oregon
7	Defendants.)
8	
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE THOMAS M. COFFIN
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
12	
13	-:-
14	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL
15	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: CHRISTOPHER L. CARDANI
16	United States Attorney's Office 405 E. 8th Avenue
17	Suite 2400 Eugene, OR 97401
18	(541) 465-6771 Chris.cardani@usdoj.gov
19	FOR THE DEFENDANT: LAWRENCE H. MATASAR
20	Lawrence Matasar, P.C. 621 S.W. Morrison Street
21	Suite 1025 Portland, OR 97205
22	(503) 222-9830 Larry@pdxlaw.com
23	
24	COURT REPORTER: Deborah Wilhelm, CSR, RPR
25	P.O. Box 1504 Eugene, OR 97440
	(541) 431-4113
	·

(Monday, September 10, 2007; 1:42 p.m.)

PROCEEDINGS

THE CLERK: Now is the time set for the matter of the *United States of America versus Pirouz Sedaghaty*, Case No. 05-60008, continuation of detention hearing.

THE COURT: All right. I've been provided with some further information by Pretrial Services, including a chronology of where the defendant lived or stayed during the time period that he was gone.

Do you have anything else you wish to point out on behalf of the government, or any further argument you wish to make?

MR. CARDANI: Yes, Your Honor. We'd like access to that information to assist the court in doing what we can to determine if the information is accurate.

We'd also be interested in whether the other information was provided, and that is occupation, sources of money, that Pretrial was asking for, passport information, any other passports. And we'd like one week to assist the court and Pretrial in determining what we can to determine the veracity of that information. So that's our request.

THE COURT: All right. The -- I'll give the information to you. You can investigate it. But I'm not going to detain the defendant pending that

investigation, if the issue here is flight risk. Is that the issue?

MR. CARDANI: Judge, I don't want to beat around the bush. At this point, given the court's comments on our dangerousness argument, that is the government's position. We're not giving up on the dangerousness -- we're not backing away from the dangerousness position, but I'm framing my arguments to address the court's concern about flight risk. So to the extent that we run down this information, we're attempting to give Pretrial and the court some ability to determine whether that information is or is not accurate.

I'd also like to know whether we can be of some assistance on running down the employment situation, the sources of money. For instance, he told the court through Pretrial that he left the \$475,000 from the sale of the house. We know that that is not true. That was false information.

Is there any other false information that's been provided to the court or not? That would be the nature of any kind of further follow-up to the court.

THE COURT: What conditions of release would you suggest be imposed if I were to release the defendant?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23.

24

25

MR. CARDANI: If the court's inclined to release the defendant, I'd like 24 hours to meet with Pretrial and run by a list of conditions that we're in the process of preparing. And we'll sponsor that to the court through Pretrial. We're not quite there yet, but we'd be looking to do that by tomorrow.

THE COURT: All right. I've examined the data that's been provided so far to the court by Pretrial Services. There are some gaps in the data. some inconsistencies in the different passports that the defendant has, including inconsistencies in his date of birth from one of the passports from the other passports, inconsistencies in the spelling of his name. And these inconsistencies surface in passports that were obtained after he was indicted. And this would be consistent with him being in a fugitive status and seeking to avoid arrest if he entered a country that had the ability to extradite him to the United States. all that adds up to factors which would support a concern that he's a flight risk. And as I said this morning, it's obvious that he could be considered a flight risk because he was gone for the period of time he was gone, and he has the ability, if he goes to Iran, to place himself beyond the reach of the United States.

But all of that, in my mind, is countered by

his decision to voluntarily return to the United States knowing that he would be arrested when he came back to this country. And all that -- and that decision to come back to the United States mitigates against detaining him as a flight risk.

I don't know why he would come back here to be arrested and face these charges only to leave again before trial. No one has given me any reason to suggest that that's his game plan. It just doesn't make sense to me, that he would do that.

On the issue of danger to the community, I've already addressed that. I'm not going to find he's a danger to the community simply based on the type of religious literature that he distributed into the American penal system, especially where the penal system didn't prohibit that literature from being disseminated in the first place.

And countering the danger to the community argument, we have the testimony of people from the community that I heard at the initial detention hearing and also at least one letter that I received from a rabbi in the community down there who attests to the defendant being a person who promotes peace and goodwill, far from advocating violence. So that weighs heavily upon my decision in that regard as well.

So it is my decision to fashion conditions of release for the defendant pending trial.

I'll give the government until tomorrow to meet with Pretrial Services to suggest what conditions of release should be imposed. And I'll consider those.

Yes, Mr. Matasar, do you have anything you wish to say at this point?

MR. MATASAR: Yes, Your Honor. I'm not sure if the court has made a ruling that all the information that Pretrial has should go to the government for verification.

It's my understanding that there is generally, not a hard, big, brick wall, but generally Pretrial Services is independent of the government. It seems to me if the government wants this information to assist the court in dealing with the release situation, that they would be willing to agree that it is not usable at all in their case in chief or in rebuttal.

So we'd simply ask the government for that assurance. Otherwise, I'm concerned that the secrecy or privilege or whatever that the statute would give it, would call it, would be appropriate here, and that all the information shouldn't be given to the government.

MR. CARDANI: May I be heard?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. CARDANI: I can't, of course, promise something that I don't know about, but the mission here is to prove -- is to determine whether the information Mr. Seda has provided to the court to seek his release is accurate or not. I don't think it should be limited to this new information on the travel.

We'd like to know what information he's provided the court about employment and about the other issues, whether there are any other passports out there that he's used or is capable of using. The financial information I think is important. And we'd like some time to run that down to prove to the court or not whether the information that the court is relying upon is accurate or not.

I mean, I understand, Judge, he voluntarily surrendered, that -- I understand that that weighs in favor of release, even for a fugitive. But by that reasoning, any fugitive that surrenders gets released unless proven a danger to the community. And I don't think that the court should go that far, because if the court is relying on information from the defendant that is false in making that decision, then I don't think the court would necessarily come to the same conclusion.

THE COURT: I'm also taking into consideration the nature of the charges against the defendant --

MR. CARDANI: I understand that.

THE COURT: -- which is a very significant factor. He's not charged with any acts of violence. He's charged with, essentially, violating the tax law and money laundering.

MR. CARDANI: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And in terms of any fugitive turning himself in, being releasable, indeed, that has been my experience. When fugitives voluntarily surrender, depending upon the nature of the charges, that cuts heavily in favor of being able to fashion conditions of release, because it speaks volumes about their intent.

Sometimes people get tired of running, and they want to come in and face the charges.

MR. CARDANI: Yes, Your Honor. And that's as it should be. But sometimes people return and don't like the situation as it evolves into trial. And people that have the wherewithal to travel in the places that he has, with passports that we didn't even know about at the first detention hearing, with an inability to tell the court basic answers to questions like, what have you been doing to sustain yourself for the last four-and-a-half years?

THE COURT: I'm mindful, Mr. Cardani, that at

```
money from a certain source or sources, that that could support the filing of additional charges. That's not the function of Pretrial Services to help the government build another case. And if that is -- if that, in part, is what motivates you to want to develop that information, that may be a perfectly appropriate motivation from your standpoint, but that's not the court's concern.
```

MR. CARDANI: It's not my motivation, Your Honor. I brought that information to your attention last week so that we put this on the table so that we avoided that kind of possibility.

THE COURT: I appreciate that.

MR. CARDANI: All right. So that's not my -but, yes, it is true, there are potential incrimination
aspects of this that, you know, I can only speculate
about, but --

THE COURT: I only point that out because that's not the court's concern. What I'm concerned about at this point are those facts or evidence or factors that cause concern about the defendant being a flight risk.

So I will allow the information to be shared with you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
I agree that if we don't have all the
passports, then that would send off alarms, and, you
know, to the court, if that type of -- if you have
information that there are more passports out there,
certainly I would be interested in that, because it's my
understanding that we now have the passports.
         MR. CARDANI: Have we -- Judge, could I ask
that the court ask Mr. Matasar directly, are there any
other passports that Mr. Seda has available to him?
Because we don't know the answer to that.
         MR. MATASAR: There are no more passports, Your
Honor.
        Let me also give you the citation of the
statute that I neglected in the separation between
Pretrial Services and the courts, 18 United States Code
Section 3153.
         THE COURT: Not Pretrial Services and the
court. You mean Pretrial --
         MR. MATASAR: Pretrial Services and the
government, law enforcement agents, I'm sorry, yes.
         No other passports, though.
         THE COURT: Now, if you have information to the
contrary, yes, I would be very interested in that.
         MR. CARDANI: Okay. And we'll look into that.
         THE COURT: Because I'm assuming that Mr. Seda
```

```
1
    has now surrendered all of his passports.
2
             MR. CARDANI: And those are three of them, the
 3
    two U.S. and the Iranian.
 4
             MR. MATASAR: Two U.S. -- well, there are two
5
    valid passports, a U.S. passport and an Iranian
6
    passport. We have surrendered those. There are also
7
    two cancelled passports. A cancelled formally U.S.
8
    passport, a cancelled Iranian passport. We've also
    given them to Pretrial Services. Thank you.
9
10
             MR. CARDANI: And can we have those and attempt
    to run down the information from them to assist the
11
12
    court?
13
             THE COURT: We'll give you copies of those.
             MR. CARDANI: Okay. All right. And so just to
14
15
    be clear, we are going to get access to some information
16
    from the court, but the court is looking to release
17
    Mr. Seda tomorrow, and I'll be allowed to meet with
1.8
    Ms. Brown and provide our recommendations?
19
             THE COURT: Absolutely.
20
             MR. CARDANI: Okay. And will we set this back
    on for a calendar tomorrow or is this going to be done
21
22
    by minute order?
23
             THE COURT: I intend to do it by minute order
24
    unless you file a motion to put it back on the calendar.
25
             MR. MATASAR: And I'd just like to -- given
```

```
that the court has ruled that the government will get
1
 2
    this information, I would just like to get it in the
 3
    exact same form. However it is given to the government,
 4
    I'd like a copy of all those documents.
             THE COURT: Certainly.
             MR. CARDANI: Judge may I have moment?
 6
7
             THE COURT: Yes.
8
             (Discussion held off the record between the
9
    prosecutor and agents.)
10
             MR. CARDANI: Thank you, Judge.
11
             THE COURT: Do we need to talk about a trial
12
    date?
13
             MR. MATASAR: Yes, Your Honor. I talked to
14
    Mr. Wax about this, and we would ask that it be
15
    designated a complex case in the sense that we would
16
    like a further than normal date for trial, longer than
17
    normal date for motions, but not a year. Maybe six
18
    months for trial, and 45 days for motion, that sort of
19
    thing. Or do we do that directly with Judge Hogan? I'm
20
    not sure --
21
             THE COURT: No, I'll do it.
22
             Do you have any objection to this being
23
    designated a complex case?
24
             MR. CARDANI: No, Your Honor.
25
             THE COURT: All right. Then I will find it is
```

```
a complex case. I will exclude 180 days. Why don't we
1
2
    put a jury trial date six months out there, six or
3
    seven months.
 4
             THE CLERK: Counsel, trial in April of '08,
5
    April 16th.
 6
             MR. CARDANI: That's fine.
7
             THE COURT: What's the expected length of
8
    trial?
9
             MR. CARDANI: Just a few days.
10
             THE COURT: All right. So set it for a week
    trial.
11
12
             I mean, do you agree with that assessment or do
13
    you know?
14
             MR. MATASAR: Yes. No. Certainly, certainly.
15
             THE COURT: We'll set it for a week trial.
16
             THE CLERK: April 16, 2008, 9:00 a.m., trial
    before Judge Hogan. Counsel, would --
17
18
             MR. MATASAR: That's a Wednesday?
19
             THE CLERK: That's correct. Would you like a
20
    status hearing two weeks in advance or --
21
             THE COURT: How about a month in advance --
22
    actually, make it two months in advance --
23
             MR. MATASAR: Yes, I agree with that.
24
             THE COURT: -- to see if there are any problems
25
    that need to be addressed at that time.
```

```
THE CLERK: Status hearing set February 18,
1
2
    2008, 1:30 p.m. before Judge Coffin.
3
             MR. CARDANI: Can we get a motions date?
             THE COURT: Well, you wanted 45 days to file
 4
5
    motions; is that what you said?
6
             MR. MATASAR: Yes.
7
             THE COURT: All right. File your motions
8
    within 45 days of today's date --
9
             MR. MATASAR: Thank you.
10
             THE COURT: -- and then they'll be set in due
11
    course.
12
             MR. CARDANI: Thank you, Your Honor.
13
             THE COURT: You bet. Thank you.
14
             (The proceedings were concluded at 2:00 p.m.)
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I, Deborah Wilhelm, Certified Shorthand Reporter for the State of Oregon, do hereby certify that I was present at and reported in machine shorthand the oral proceedings had in the above-entitled matter. I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript, to the best of my skill and ability, dated this 14th day of September, 2007.

Oregon
CSR
00-0363
Deborah Wilhelm, RPR
Certified Shorthand Reporter
Certificate No. 00-0363